Prepared for submission to JHEP

arXiv:1605.08077v2 [hep-ph] 28 Oct 2016

$K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K^+ \to e^+\nu_e\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays within the Chiral Perturbation Theory

D. Gorbunov and A. Mitrofanov

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 117312, Russia
and
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia

E-mail: gorby@ms2.inr.ac.ru, mitrofanov@phystech.edu

Abstract: Decays $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K^+ \to e^+\nu_e\nu\bar{\nu}$ are examined to the leading order in momenta in the framework of Chiral perturbation theory. Predictions of the Standard Model for the muon and electron differential energy spectra and branching ratios of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$ are presented.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Lagrangian and diagrams 2

3 Results and discussion 5

4 Conclusions 6

A Squared amplitudes of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$ 7

B Integration over the phase space of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$ 8

1 Introduction

Standard Model of particle physics (SM) allows at tree level of perturbation theory fully leptonic 4-body kaon decays $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_l\nu_l$ and $K^+ \to e^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_l\nu_l$, where $l = e, \mu, \tau$. However they occur only in the fourth order of weak coupling constant g (that is in the second order of the Fermi constant G_F) and hence are expected to be extremely rare. We calculate the amplitudes of these processes exploiting the Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) [1]. In this paper we perform calculations of the process amplitudes to the leading order in momenta expansion, $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, obtain the charged lepton spectra and estimate the corresponding branching ratios.

Experimental searches for the kaon leptonic 4-body decays, starting from Refs. [2, 3] reveal no evidence for the processes so far, which is not surprising given the smallness of estimated SM branching ratios $\sim 10^{-16}$ [3]. The kaon experiments with large statistics, e.g. E494, NA62 (with about 10^{13} charged kaons), can either find a hint of new physics or place new much stronger limits on the partial widths $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$. So the correct SM estimate is needed to tell one case from another. Ultimately, in the absence of new physics signal, an experiment with sufficiently large statistics would allow to probe the new ChPT form factors related to the neutral weak boson exchange.

In practice, only a part of the phase space of final particles is available for experimental study. In our case the only one particle in the final state, the charged lepton, is observable, hence one has to calculate the charged lepton spectra in $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$.

The theoretical predictions for the charged lepton spectra are also required, as $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$ contribute to the background in searches for new particles in kaon decays, $K^+ \to \mu^+ + X$, $K^+ \to \mu^+ + X + Y$, $K^+ \to \mu^+ + X + Y + Z$, etc where neutral particles, X, Y, Z, etc (including hypothetical ones) are invisible. Well-known examples are searches for sterile neutrinos, $K^+ \to \mu^+ + N$, see e.g. Ref. [4] for recent experimental results and Ref. [5] for

possible motivation, searches for hidden photons, $K^+ \to \mu+\nu+A$, see e.g. Ref. [6] for recent results and Ref. [7] for possible motivation. Though the contribution of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ is beyond the immediate registration, in many model of new physics we have no definite predictions for the signal strength. Extensive searches for new physics may, sooner or later, bring to the situation where detailed predictions of the SM contributions to $K_{l3\nu}$ are required to either further constrain or study the new physics models.

Processes $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$ have been considered previously either within a hypothetical models of strong neutrino interactions [3, 8] or within the SM where only charged current contributions of electron and muon doublets have been accounted for [3]. Neutral currents have been considered in Ref. [6]. In the present paper we calculate all the relevant SM leading order contributions working within ChPT.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we derive the interaction lagrangian relevant for the kaon leptonic 4-body decays, present the Feynman diagrams of the processes and corresponding amplitudes. The numerical results for lepton spectra and partial decay widths are presented in Sec. 3. We conclude in Sec. 4. Appendix A contains the details of calculation of squared matrix elements of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$, integration over the 4-body phase space is performed in appendix B.

2 Lagrangian and diagrams

We are using the standard ChPT [1], which properly describes the dynamics of the octet of light pseudoscalar mesons forming the unitary matrix

$$U = \mathrm{e}^{i\sqrt{2}\frac{\Phi}{F}}\,, \qquad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

F is meson decay constant, which numerical value is specified in due course. To the leading order in momentum the model lagrangian can be obtained from [9]

$$L_2 = \frac{F^2}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger + \chi U^\dagger + \chi^\dagger U\right], \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$D_\mu U = \partial_\mu U - i r_\mu U + i U l_\mu\,, \qquad \chi = 2B \times \mathrm{diag}\,(m_u,\, m_d,\, m_s)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Here l_μ and r_μ are introduced as follows: $r_\mu = v_\mu + a_\mu$ and $l_\mu = v_\mu - a_\mu$, where v_μ, a_μ are sums of the weak and the electromagnetic fields, interacting with vector and axial quark currents in SM. These interactions for the relevant set of three light quarks, $q \equiv (u,\, d,\, s)^T$, which masses enter χ in (2.3), reads

$$L_{\mathrm{int}} = \bar{q}\gamma^\mu(v_\mu + \gamma_5 a_\mu)q\,. \tag{2.4}$$

SM gives the following quark couplings to charged $W^\pm_\mu$ and neutral Z_μ weak gauge bosons:

$$L_{SM(u,d,s)} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}\left[W^+_\mu \bar{q} T_+ \gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)q + h.c.\right] - \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} Z_\mu \bar{q}\gamma^\mu(v_{nc} - \gamma_5 a_{nc})q\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where we introduce

$$T_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{ud} & V_{us} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

with V_{ud} and V_{us} standing for the corresponding elements of the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa mixing matrix, and

$$v_{nc} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta\right), \quad a_{nc} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

with θ denoting the weak mixing angle, which relates the gauge boson masses as $M_W = M_Z \cos\theta$. Thus we obtain for the matrices entering (2.3)

$$r_\mu = \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\cos\theta} g Z_\mu Q, \quad l_\mu = \frac{g}{\cos\theta}\left(-\frac{1}{2}A + \sin^2\theta\, Q\right) Z_\mu - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+ T_+ + h.c.) , \tag{2.6}$$

where $Q = \mathrm{diag}(2/3, -1/3, -1/3)$, $A = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)$. Finally we expand the exponent in (2.1), put it into (2.2) and take the relevant for our study part of ChPT $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian (2.2) and leptonic weak current part of the SM Lagrangian (mass terms are omitted below):

$$\begin{aligned} L = {} & \frac{iFg^2\sin^2\theta}{2\cos\theta} V_{us} Z^\mu W_\mu^- K^+ - \frac{Fg}{2} V_{us} W_\mu^- \partial^\mu K^+ + ig\frac{2\sin^2\theta - 1}{2\cos\theta} Z^\mu \left(\partial_\mu K^+ K^- - K^+ \partial_\mu K^-\right) \\ & + \frac{igW_\mu^- V_{us}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left[\partial_\mu K^+\left(\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + 3\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}}\right) - K^+\partial_\mu\left(\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + 3\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}}\right)\right] - \frac{gFZ_\mu}{2\sqrt{2}\cos\theta} \\ & \times \partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{2}\pi^0 + \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_l \gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5) l + h.c\right) - \frac{gZ_\mu}{4\cos\theta}\bar{\nu}_l\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Here $l = e, \mu, \tau$ and $\nu_l = \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ are charged leptons and corresponding neutrinos and we added η'-meson as a natural completion of the set of relevant mesons.[1] Diagrams corresponding to $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}\nu$ decay are shown in Fig. 1. The amplitude of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{FG_F^2 V_{us}}{\sqrt{2}} \times \sum_{i=1}^{6} M_i \equiv \frac{FG_F^2 V_{us}}{\sqrt{2}} \times M , \tag{2.8}$$

[1] Both external fields a_μ, v_μ have non-vanishing traces. It is admissible to gauge not only $SU_V(3)\times SU_A(3)$ symmetry, but $SU_V(3) \times SU_A(3) \times U_A(1) \times U_V(1)$, and η' emerges in ChPT lagrangian in this case. Although $U(1)_A$ symmetry is broken due to Chiral anomaly, Wess–Zumino–Witten term, corresponding to its breaking, is of order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ so we won't take it into consideration. As we find below, η' does not contribute to the processes under discussion (to the leading order in momenta), so we do not elaborate on this issue further.



Figure 1: Feynman diagrams of the process $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_l\nu_l$. Similar diagrams contribute to the decay $K^+ \to e^+\nu_e\bar{\nu}_l\nu_l$.

where sum goes over all the six diagrams of Fig. 1 and we introduce the Fermi constant, $G_F \equiv g^2/4\sqrt{2}M_W^2$. The diagrams contribute to the amplitude as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_1 =& 2\sin^2\theta \cdot \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \\
M_2 =& (1-2\sin^2\theta) \cdot \frac{(p_3+p_4)^\lambda(p_0+p_3+p_4)^\rho}{(p_3+p_4)^2 - M_K^2} \cdot \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma_\rho(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \\
M_3 =& -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(p_1+p_2)^\lambda(p_0+p_1+p_2)^\rho}{(p_1+p_2)^2 - M_\alpha^2} \cdot \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\rho(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \\
M_4 =& \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(p_0-p_4)^2} p_0^\rho \cdot \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)(\hat{p}_0-\hat{p}_4)\gamma_\rho(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \\
M_5 =& \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_0^\lambda \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\frac{-\hat{p}_0+\hat{p}_3+m_\mu}{(p_0-p_3)^2-m_\mu^2}\gamma^\rho(4\sin^2\theta-1+\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \cdot \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma_\rho(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \\
M_6 =& p_0^\rho \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) \cdot \bar{\nu}_l\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\frac{m_l-\hat{p}_0+\hat{p}_1}{(p_0-p_1)^2-m_l^2}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar amplitudes contribute to decay $K_{e3\nu}$ with obvious replacements $\mu(p_4) \to e(p_4)$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3) \to \bar{\nu}_e(p_3)$, and $m_\mu \to m_e$. In case of decay $K^+ \to e^+\nu_e\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$ diagram 6 has resonance divergence associated with muon producing on-shell. We are dealing it by cutting out the phase space region, corresponding to $|(p_0-p_1)^2 - m_\mu^2| < (30\,\mathrm{MeV})^2$.

3 Results and discussion

For the processes under discussion neutrinos can be treated as massless particles. Then amplitude M_3 referring to diagram 3 in Fig. 1 vanishes (Z mixes with derivative of scalars, see lagrangian (2.7) which nullifies the amplitude for outgoing neutrinos on mass-shell). Also note, that for decays $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\mu$ and $K^+ \to e^+\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\nu_e$ there are two identical fermions in the final state and to the amplitudes presented in Sec. 2 must be added the same ones with opposite signs and changed momenta, $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3$. In appendix A explicit expressions for the squared amplitudes are presented. The phase space of the 4-body decays and integration over the momenta of outgoing fermions are discussed in appendix B. In Fig. 2 we illustrate contributions of different channels to the differential spectrum of



Figure 2: Lepton distributions over energy: Contributions of different final states (electron neutrino $\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e$, muon neutrino $\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$ and tau-neutrino $\nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ pairs) to the differential spectrum of muons from decays $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ *(left panel)* and to the differential spectrum of electrons from decays $K_{e3\nu}$ *(right panel)*, normalized to the corresponding branching ratios.

muons in $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and electrons in $K_{e3\nu}$. As we can see contributions of the channel with τ-neutrinos dominate. The difference is associated with the 6th diagram in Fig. 1, which is suppressed by the τ-lepton mass. For other channels, the 6th diagram coming with relative negative sign reduces the sum of others. The details can be traced with formulas presented in appendix A(there the dominant and relevant for this issue coefficient is denoted as A).

The total lepton spectra, sums over all the three final states with different neutrino flavors, are presented in Fig. 2 as well. For experimental application we also show in Fig. 3 the lepton distributions over 3-momentum. By making use of the interpolating polynomials in lepton 3-momenta $p_\mu(p_e)$ [MeV] we find the following numerical fits to these distributions:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Br}_{\mathbf{K_{\mu 3\nu}}}}{dp_\mu} = -2.893\times10^{-22} + 2.234\times10^{-22}p_\mu + 2.043\times10^{-22}p_\mu^2 + 8.368\times10^{-26}p_\mu^3 - 1.632\times10^{-26}p_\mu^4 + 7.338\times10^{-29}p_\mu^5 - 9.035\times10^{-32}p_\mu^6\,, \tag{3.1}$$



Figure 3: Momentum distribution of leptons normalized to the corresponding branching ratios: $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ (left), $K_{e3\nu}$ (right).

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Br_{K_{e3\nu}}}}{dp_e} = -1.180\times10^{-21} + 1.040\times10^{-20}p_e + 1.990\times10^{-22}p_e^2 - 4.793\times10^{-25}p_e^3 - 1.328\times10^{-26}p_e^4 + 6.474\times10^{-29}p_e^5 - 7.752\times10^{-32}p_e^6\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The full branchings, obtained by integration of interpolating polynomials differ from those obtained by integration of exact $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ results by less than one percent.

Integrating over the muon (electron) momentum, one arrives at the following branching ratios:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Br}(K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu_\mu\bar\nu_\mu) &= 8.42\times10^{-18} & \mathrm{Br}(K^+\to e^+\nu_e\nu_\mu\bar\nu_\mu) &= 2.00\times10^{-17}\\
\mathrm{Br}(K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu_e\bar\nu_e) &= 6.27\times10^{-18} & \mathrm{Br}(K^+\to e^+\nu_e\nu_e\bar\nu_e) &= 1.30\times10^{-22}\\
\mathrm{Br}(K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu_\tau\bar\nu_\tau) &= 1.48\times10^{-16} & \mathrm{Br}(K^+\to e^+\nu_e\nu_\tau\bar\nu_\tau) &= 2.38\times10^{-16}\\
\mathrm{Br}(K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar\nu) &= 1.62\times10^{-16} & \mathrm{Br}(K^+\to e^+\nu_e\nu\bar\nu) &= 2.58\times10^{-16}
\end{aligned}$$

Obtained branchings are expectingly small and are beyond foreseeable direct registration (for instance NA62 at CERN is investigating the processes of 6 orders higher branchings). The question arises, how to distinguish this weak process from others with similar observable signature. The full answer is certainly beyond the scope of this paper. An example of the irreducible background process is $K^+\to\pi^+\nu\bar\nu\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar\nu$. Its contribution to the same final state as $K_{\mu3\nu}$ in the finite volume detector can be discriminated by increasing the pion boost, which correspondingly suppress the probability of pion decay in flight. Moreover, the muon spectra exhibits different behavior, because the π^+ spectrum is growing throughout the whole kinematically allowed region [10] (and therefore μ^+ spectrum as well) while in $K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar\nu$ there is a clear maximum at $E_\mu\approx155$ MeV. Thus although $\mathrm{Br}(K^+\to\pi^+\nu\bar\nu\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar\nu)\approx10^6 Br(K^+\to\mu^+\nu_\mu\nu\bar\nu)$, the study of low energy muons will give a chance to distinguish the two processes.

4 Conclusions

To summarise, exploiting the ChPT we perform to the leading order in momenta the calculation of lepton spectra and partial widths of kaon decays $K_{\mu3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$. The accuracy of all numerical integrations and numerical fits to spectra are not worse than one percent.

Recall, that the uncertainty associated with limiting the ChPT calculations by the leading order is significantly worse, about few tens percent.

We thank Yury Kudenko for suggesting to consider the problem of kaon four-body leptonic decay and encouraging conversations at various stages of the project. We thank Roman Lee and Artur Shaikhiev for discussions on the phase space integration. The work is supported by the RSF grant 14-22-00161.

A Squared amplitudes of $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$

In this section we present the explicit forms of squared matrix elements describing the four body decays $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$. To shorten the notations we denote the scalar product of 4-vectors p_i and p_j as $p_i p_j \equiv x_{ij}$ (considering $i < j$ for definiteness). Then the corresponding squared amplitudes for decay $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ into the final states with electron and tau neutrinos, $l = e, \tau$, are

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 =& \big| A \times \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) + B \times \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\hat{p}_0(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2) \\ & \times \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)(1+\gamma_5)\mu(p_4) + C \times \bar{\nu}_l(p_1)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu(p_2) \cdot \bar{\nu}_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)\hat{p}_0\mu(p_4) \big|^2 = \\ =& 256A^2 x_{13}x_{24} + 64B^2 x_{34}\left(2x_{01}x_{02} - x_{12}M_K^2\right) + 256C^2\left(2x_{13}x_{02}x_{04} - M_K^2 x_{13}x_{24}\right) \\ & - 128ABm_\mu\left(x_{13}x_{02} + x_{01}x_{23} - x_{12}x_{03}\right) - 512m_\mu AC x_{13}x_{02} + 128BC\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{01}x_{34}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. + x_{13}x_{04} - x_{03}x_{14}\right) - M_K^2\left(x_{12}x_{34} + x_{13}x_{24} - x_{14}x_{23}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A =& 2\left(\sin^2\theta + \frac{M_K^2 - 2x_{04}}{2(M_K^2 - 2x_{04} + m_\mu^2)} - \frac{M_K^2 - 2x_{01}}{M_K^2 - 2x_{01} - m_l^2}\right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1 - 2\sin^2\theta}{2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{m_\mu^2}{2x_{12} + 2x_{14} + 2x_{24}}\right)\right), \\ B =& -2m_\mu\left(\frac{1 - 2\sin^2\theta}{2x_{12} - 2x_{01} - 2x_{02}} - \frac{2\sin^2\theta}{2x_{12} + 2x_{14} + 2x_{24}}\right), \\ C =& -m_\mu\left(\frac{1}{M_K^2 - 2x_{04} + m_\mu^2} + \frac{2\sin^2\theta}{2x_{12} + 2x_{14} + 2x_{24}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Since B and C are proportional to mass of the charged lepton in the final state, in case of the decay $K_{e3\nu}$ they can be neglected. Then only one term in the squared matrix element survives, that is proportional to A^2, where one must replace m_μ with m_e.

Squared matrix elements for $K_{\mu 3\nu}$ with only muon neutrinos in the final set, $l = \mu$,

read

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^2 =&\big|A\times\bar\nu_l(p_1)\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2)\cdot\bar\nu_\mu(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\mu(p_4)+B\times\bar\nu_l(p_1)\hat p_0(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2)\\
&\times\bar\nu_\mu(p_3)(1+\gamma_5)\mu(p_4)+C\times\bar\nu_l(p_1)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu(p_2)\cdot\bar\nu_\mu(p_3)\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\hat p_0\mu(p_4)\\
&+D\times\bar\nu_l(p_3)\hat p_0(1-\gamma_5)\nu_l(p_2)\cdot\bar\nu_\mu(p_1)(1+\gamma_5)\mu(p_4)+E\times\bar\nu_l(p_3)\gamma_\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\nu(p_2)\\
&\times\bar\nu_\mu(p_1)\gamma^\lambda(1-\gamma_5)\hat p_0\mu(p_4)\big|^2=\\
=&256A^2x_{13}x_{24}+64B^2x_{34}\left(2x_{01}x_{02}-x_{12}M_K^2\right)+256C^2x_{13}\left(2x_{02}x_{04}-M_K^2x_{24}\right)\\
&-128ABm_\mu\left(x_{13}x_{02}+x_{01}x_{23}-x_{12}x_{03}\right)-512m_\mu ACx_{13}x_{02}+128BC\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{01}x_{34}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\ x_{13}x_{04}-x_{03}x_{14}\right)-M_K^2\left(x_{12}x_{34}+x_{13}x_{24}-x_{14}x_{23}\right)\right]+64D^2x_{14}\left(2x_{03}x_{02}-M_K^2x_{23}\right)\\
&+256E^2x_{13}\left(2x_{02}x_{04}-M_K^2x_{24}\right)-128m_\mu AD\left(x_{12}x_{03}+x_{13}x_{02}-x_{01}x_{23}\right)-512m_\mu AE\\
&\times x_{13}x_{02}-64BD\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{01}x_{34}+x_{14}x_{03}-x_{13}x_{04}\right)-M_K^2\left(x_{12}x_{34}+x_{14}x_{23}-x_{13}x_{24}\right)\right]\\
&+128BE\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{01}x_{34}+x_{13}x_{04}-x_{14}x_{03}\right)-M_K^2\left(x_{12}x_{34}+x_{13}x_{24}-x_{14}x_{23}\right)\right]\\
&+128CD\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{03}x_{14}+x_{13}x_{04}-x_{34}x_{01}\right)-M_K^2\left(x_{23}x_{14}+x_{13}x_{24}-x_{34}x_{12}\right)\right]\\
&+512CEx_{13}\left(2x_{04}x_{02}-M_K^2x_{24}\right)+128ED\left[2x_{02}\left(x_{03}x_{14}+x_{13}x_{04}-x_{34}x_{01}\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\ M_K^2\left(x_{23}x_{14}+x_{13}x_{24}-x_{34}x_{12}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
A =&2\left[\left(2\sin^2\theta+\frac{M_K^2-2x_{04}}{M_K^2-2x_{04}+m_\mu^2}\right)+\frac{1-2\sin^2\theta}{2}\left(2+\frac{m_\mu^2}{2x_{12}+2x_{14}+2x_{24}}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\ \frac{m_\mu^2}{2x_{23}+2x_{34}+2x_{24}}\right)-\left(\frac{M_K^2-2x_{01}}{M_K^2-2x_{01}-m_\mu^2}+\frac{M_K^2-2x_{03}}{M_K^2-2x_{03}-m_\mu^2}\right)\right]\\
B =&2m_\mu\left(\frac{2\sin^2\theta-1}{2x_{12}-2x_{01}-2x_{02}}+\frac{2\sin^2\theta}{2x_{12}+2x_{14}+2x_{24}}\right)\\
C =&-2m_\mu\left(\frac{1}{2(M_K^2-2x_{04}+m_\mu^2)}+\frac{\sin^2\theta}{2x_{12}+2x_{14}+2x_{24}}\right)\\
D =&-2m_\mu\left(\frac{2\sin^2\theta-1}{2x_{23}-2x_{03}-2x_{02}}+\frac{2\sin^2\theta}{2x_{23}+2x_{34}+2x_{24}}\right)\\
E =&2m_\mu\left(\frac{1}{2(M_K^2-2x_{04}+m_\mu^2)}+\frac{\sin^2\theta}{2x_{23}+2x_{34}+2x_{24}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.4}
$$

Again, in case of the decay $K_{e3\nu}$ all the coefficients above except A and E are proportional to mass of the charged lepton in the final state. Thus only the single term proportional to A^2 saturates the squared matrix element of $K_{e3\nu}$, and m_e replaces m_μ in the expressions of A presented above.

B Integration over the phase space of $K_{\mu3\nu}$ and $K_{e3\nu}$

We examine the four particle decay and phase density of final states has relatively complicated from. The main idea is to examine a chain of two particle decays from the rest

frame of kaon. Thus we write all momenta in the fixed frame from the very beginning. As a consequence of neutrinos being massless, momenta of decay products are unambiguous and resulting formula has a rather simple form.

In the following section we are using notation $p_i = (E_i, \vec{p}_i)$ for 4-vector p_i and $\mathbf{p}$ for length of 3-vector $\vec{p}$. Formula of 2-particle phase space for decay $q \to q_1 + q_2$ reads [11]

$$R_2(q, q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{4} \int d\Omega_1 \sum_{a=+,-} (\mathbf{q}_1^a)^2 (E\mathbf{q}_1^a - \mathbf{q}E_1^a \cos\theta)^{-1} \,, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\mathbf{q}_1^{+,-}$ are solutions to the following equation,

$$E - E_1 - (\mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}_1^2 - 2\mathbf{q}\ \mathbf{q}_1 \cos\theta_1 + m_2^2)^{1/2} = 0 \,,$$

so that $\cos\theta_1$ is angle between $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{q}_1$, $m_2^2 = q_2^2$. The r.h.s. of eq. (B.1) contains Lorentz-non-invariant variables, but after integration R_2 still depends only on q^2 as it must be. Nevertheless we will denote it as $R_2(q, q_1, q_2)$ to specify particles, involved in decay.

Decomposition of n-particle phase space R_n is performed with the following formula [11]

$$R_n(M_n^2) = \int_{\mu_{n-1}^2}^{(M_n - m_n)^2} dM_{n-1}^2 R_2(k_n, k_{n-1}, p_n) R_{n-1}(M_{n-1}^2) \,, \tag{B.2}$$

where $m_i = p_i^2$, $\mu_i \equiv \sum_1^i m_i$, $k_i \equiv \sum_1^i p_i$, $M_n^2 = k_n^2$. Thus, applying the reduction formula (B.2) twice, substituting common R_2 expressions and integrating out 3 trivial angles, we arrive at the following master formula

$$\begin{aligned} R_4(M_K^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \int_0^{(M_K - m_4)^2} dM_3^2 \int_0^{M_3^2} dM_2^2 \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta_1 \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \\ \times \frac{[(M_K^2 - (M_3 + m_4)^2)(M_K^2 - (M_3 - m_4)^2)]^{1/2} \times \mathbf{p}_3^2 \times \mathbf{p}_2^2}{2M_K^2 \times (E_{123}\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_{123}E_3 \cos\theta_1) \times (E_{12}\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_{12}E_2 \cos\theta_2)} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Here we adopt the useful auxiliary momentum variables $p_{12} = (E_{12}, \vec{p}_{12}) = p_1 + p_2$; $p_{123} = (E_{123}, \vec{p}_{123}) = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, so that $M_2^2 = p_{12}^2$, $M_3^2 = p_{123}^2$, and angle variables $\theta_1 \equiv \angle(\vec{p}_3\,, \vec{p}_{123})$, $\theta_2 \equiv \angle(\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_{12})$, ϕ is rotation angle of plane $(\vec{p}_{12}\,, \vec{p}_2)$ around $\vec{p}_{12}$, as demonstrated in Fig. 4. The decay rates of $K_{l3\nu}$ are found by integrating the corresponding squared amplitudes (2.8) over the above defined phase space,

$$\Gamma_{K_{l3\nu}} = \int \frac{1}{2M_K(2\pi)^8} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \, dR_4 \,, \tag{B.4}$$

in the cases with two identical neutrinos in final state we must also divide the obtained in this way result by 2. Now substituting $M_3^3 = (p_0 - p_4)^2$ it is straightforward to obtain the differential spectra:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma_{K_{l3\nu}}}{d\mathbf{p_4}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_0^{M_3^2} dM_2^2 \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta_1 \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \\ \times \frac{[(M_K^2 - (M_3 + m_4)^2)(M_K^2 - (M_3 - m_4)^2)]^{1/2} \times \mathbf{p}_3^2 \times \mathbf{p}_2^2}{2M_K^2 \times (E_{123}\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_{123}E_3 \cos\theta_1) \times (E_{12}\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_{12}E_2 \cos\theta_2)} \times \frac{\mathbf{p}_4 \, |\mathcal{M}|^2}{32E_4} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$



Figure 4: Definition of integration variables (2D sketch).

where $M_3^2 = M_K^2 + m_4^2 - 2M_K E_4$. Then the branching fraction is defined as

$$\mathrm{Br}_{K_{l3\nu}} \equiv \tau_K \cdot \Gamma_{K_{l3\nu}} ,$$

where τ_K is the kaon lifetime. For the numerical estimates we substitute the kaon decay constant $F_K \approx 111\,\mathrm{MeV}$ [12] for the meson decay constant F.

References

[1] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rept. **87**, 77 (1982).

[2] G. D. Cable, R. H. Hildebrand, C. Y. Pang, and R. Stiening, Phys. Lett. **B40**, 699 (1972).

[3] C. Y. Pang, R. H. Hildebrand, G. D. Cable, and R. Stiening, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 1989 (1973).

[4] A. V. Artamonov *et al.* (E949), Phys. Rev. **D91**, 052001 (2015), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D91,no.5,059903(2015)], arXiv:1411.3963 [hep-ex] .

[5] D. Gorbunov and M. Shaposhnikov, JHEP **10**, 015 (2007), [Erratum: JHEP11,101(2013)], arXiv:0705.1729 [hep-ph] .

[6] T. Beranek and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. **D87**, 015024 (2013), arXiv:1209.4561 [hep-ph] .

[7] M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, and M. B. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. **B662**, 53 (2008), arXiv:0711.4866 [hep-ph] .

[8] D. Yu. Bardin, S. M. Bilenky, and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Lett. **B32**, 121 (1970).

[9] J. Bijnens, G. Ecker, and J. Gasser, in *2nd DAPHNE Physics Handbook:125-144* (1994) pp. 125–144, arXiv:hep-ph/9411232 [hep-ph] .

[10] T. K. Komatsubara (E787, E949), in *Weak interactions and neutrinos. Proceedings, 17th International Workshop, WIN'99, Cape Town, South Africa, January 24-30, 1999* (1999) pp. 535–539, arXiv:hep-ex/9905014 [hep-ex] .

[11] E. Byckling and K. Kajantie, *Particle Kinematics* (University of Jyvaskyla, Jyvaskyla, Finland, 1971).

[12] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. **C38**, 090001 (2014).